IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

TUESDAY, THE 7TH AUGUST 2007 / 16TH SRAVANA 1929

Crl.MC.No. 1775 of 2007()

(CRIME NO. 348/2005 of MAVELIKKARA POLICE STATION)

PETITIONER:

M.VENU, S/O.MADHAVAN, AGED 39 YEARS,
RESIDING AT PUTHENPURAYIL HOUSE,
NANGIARKULANGARA MURI, PALLIPPAD VILLAGE,
ALLAPUZHA DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.SAJITH KUMAR V.

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.

2. DIRECTOR/CHIEF CHEMICAL EXAMINER,
FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY, TRIVANDRUM.

3. T.K.G.PANICKER, AGED 55 YEARS,
S/O.KOCHUPILLA PANICKER, MITTOO COTTAGE,
AKAMKUDI MURI PALLIPAD VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI. M.S. BREEZ, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 07/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.BASANT, J

= = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Crl.M.C. No.1775 Of 2007

= = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 7th day of August, 2007

ORDER

The short grievance of the petitioner is about the inordinate delay in submitting a report by the 2nd respondent, after examining the requisite documents in Crime No.348/05. Notice was given to the learned Public Prosecutor. Statement has been filed on behalf of the 2nd respondent. In the statement it is mentioned that the report could not be submitted as some further details/ additional standard signatures of the period 2002 are required for comparison. In the statement, the 2nd respondent undertakes that the final report shall be submitted within one month from the date of receipt of the requisite documents from the concerned court. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on receipt of the intimation from the 2nd respondent, the learned Magistrate has already been taken steps to procure and furnish the requisite additional signatures to the expert.

2. No further specific directions do appear to be necessary in these circumstances. I need only observe that the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate must forward the additional details called for by

the 2nd respondent as expeditiously as possible and the 2nd respondent should comply with the undertaking given in the statement filed before this Court .

This Crl.M.C. is hence dismissed as no further directions are necessary.

(R.BASANT, JUDGE)

sj